Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/699,224	CHOI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jean B. Corrielus	2611
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Jean B. Corrielus</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Eric Hyman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 October 2007	Time: around 2:40PM	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
8, 9, and 14		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Chit Combe		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

, ,

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's rep authorizes the attached examiners amendment to claims 8, 9, and 14 in order to correct for some minor informalities so as to place the application in better form for allowance. Applicant also agrees to amend fig. 1 to show the receiver section as recited in claim 1. the specification has been amended to reflect changes made to the drawing.